

# EMC Election Fraud Report

Prepared by Sam Allen  
samallen@eagleshare.org  
September 4, 2013  
(Updated October 29, 2013)

Candidates who may have been the victims of vote counting fraud	Robert Schermerhorn (Mayoral candidate) Nick Jessen (Council candidate)
Candidates who may have been the beneficiaries of vote counting fraud:	Mayor Heather Jackson Council Member Nathan Ochsenhirt
Primary municipal election date:	August 13, 2013
Date of official canvassing:	August 27, 2013

## Summary

According to Lois Heber, a poll watcher in the 2013 Eagle Mountain Municipal Primary Election, Fionnuala B. Kofoed (city recorder and chief election officer) removed the last ballot box to be counted on election night from the designated counting area into her private back office (without telling the poll watcher) where she proceeded to count ballots with her employees (who were not the official poll judges) until she was discovered by the poll watcher later on that night. Anomalies in the election results suggest that the city recorder may have substituted the names of two challengers with the names of two incumbents on about 145 ballots, a number that is sufficiently large enough to change the results of the election. This and other inconsistencies, like the lack of enforcement of election rules that night, call into question the integrity of the vote count. The only sure way to verify that voting fraud did not take place is through a recount.

## Background

The 2013 Eagle Mountain City Municipal Primary Election was a hotly contested race in which 16 candidates vied for 3 positions (one mayoral and two council seats). Turnout for this election was almost three times higher than in the city's previous primary.

The main cause for this increase in interest was the publication of the "Eagle Mountain City Utility Scandal Report" (**Exhibit 1**) on February 9, 2013 on the [eagleshare.org](http://eagleshare.org) website. This report received state-wide media attention and prompted the Utah State Auditor's Office to promise to investigate certain aspects of the city's operations. Shortly afterwards, a door hanger was distributed throughout the city which advertised some of the findings of the report and asked people to run for office (**Exhibit 2**). Next, another door hanger was distributed that sought to build support for the three strongest reform candidates (**Exhibit 3**).

These publications and the overwhelming response from residents put the city on the defensive and it immediately started improving its operations. Among other things, it published its utility rates on its bills (which it had never done before), it started fixing the city's potholes, and it also

became much more transparent about reporting financial losses. But they also created a lot of fear among the city's employees who thought they might lose their jobs if the strongest reform candidates got into office. This was especially true of the city recorder, Fionnuala Kofoed, since she had been singled out in the utility scandal report.

The three strongest reform candidates were Robert Schermerhorn (Mayor), Nick Jessen (Council), and Tom Westmoreland (Council). On election night Lois Heber served as their poll watcher. Ms. Heber's statement (**Exhibit 4**) describes the events surrounding the vote count on election night and how the city recorder did not enforce and follow some of her own rules and procedures. The following account of the main irregularities with the count on election night was created using her statement and other facts that were gathered after the election.

### **Main Irregularities**

**(Exhibit 5)** Near the end of the vote counting on election night Ms. Heber left the designated vote counting area **(A)** in the recorder's office to go to the bathroom **(B)**. At this time the official vote counters were processing the second to the last precinct box. While she was in the bathroom Ms. Kofoed took the last uncounted box of votes into her private office **(C)** where she proceeded to count the ballots with her two assistants. When Ms. Heber left the bathroom she returned to the designated vote counting area in the main office to resume her role as a poll watcher. When the official vote counters were done with their box, Ms. Heber asked herself where Ms. Kofoed had gone. This is when she got up and looked into Ms. Kofoed's back office and discovered her counting the ballots for the last uncounted precinct. Specifically, Ms. Kofoed was reading the ballots and her two assistants were tallying the votes. Mrs. Heber describes in her statement (**Exhibit 4**) what happened after this discovery and the drama that followed.

The statistical results of this election (**Exhibit 6**) suggest that something illegal may have happened while the city recorder counted ballots in her back office without poll watchers present.

First of all, it's important to note that Schermerhorn, Jessen, and Westmoreland ran as a team and closely coordinated their activities, literature, and signs (**Exhibit 7**). They also had the support of Eagleshare.org and its supporters. Because of all this you'd expect their vote totals to be very close. This was true for two of the candidates--Schermerhorn (389) and Jessen (394) but it wasn't for Westmoreland who received 536 votes.

What makes this last number so interesting is that Westmoreland received the 2nd highest number of votes in the city council race despite the following facts:

- He never had a campaign website up and running.
- He did not personally attend the city's "Meet the Candidates" event.
- He only had about 40 yard signs up in the entire city.
- While he did attend the debate, it was lightly attended by residents.

When the final election results were tallied that night another poll watcher was stunned because Westmoreland had such a low profile in this election compared to the other candidates, other than his exposure as part of a team with Schermerhorn and Jessen.

There are two possible explanations for this outcome. Either Westmoreland's debate performance and word of mouth endorsements put him over the top, or he actually got about the same number of votes as Schermerhorn and Jessen but some of their votes were never counted. According to Ms. Heber a large number of the ballots counted that night were cast for Schermerhorn, Jessen and Westmoreland together, as expected since they campaigned as a team.

**(Exhibit 8)** If Ms. Kofoed, the sole reader of the ballots in her private office that night, had merely replaced the names of Schermerhorn and Jessen on some of these team ballots with the names of Jackson and Ochsenhirt (the two incumbents), she could have easily changed the outcome of the election. She had the motive and she definitely had the opportunity. And all she would have had to do was intentionally misread about 145 ballots, which is the average difference between Westmoreland's total and that of the other candidates on his team. This could easily have been done in the last precinct box she counted that night.

Here are some other anomalies that are worth considering:

(1) According to a previous poll worker for the city, previous vote counts had been performed in the recorder's private office because it contains a conference table that offers plenty of space to work on. In this last election this same person found it odd that the vote count was done in the main office behind the glass wall **(Exhibit 5 – A)** because it's such a tight space to work in, especially if there are multiple poll watchers trying to verify the count. But choosing this location makes perfect sense if someone needs to reserve a private space in the back office for a secret count later on.

(2) According to Ms. Heber she was never told that Ms. Kofoed was going to take the last ballot box into her private office for counting, and when she complained about this she felt that Ms. Kofoed tried to intimidate her into accepting her version of the events that evening.

(3) Ms. Kofoed performed a meaningless "test count" to verify that her backroom totals were accurate. Performing a test count for only one candidate proves nothing about the veracity of the count for the other candidates, but it does provide the appearance of integrity to an uninformed audience.

(4) Ms. Kofoed made a point to tell Ms. Heber that night that if the margin of victory was higher than (X) percent, then there was no legal basis for a recount. In light of the other irregularities, this may have been an attempt to discourage Ms. Heber from contesting the election results.

(5) If the city recorder had kept track of the ongoing election results that evening and it wasn't going well for her, it would provide an incentive for her to make a risky move such as taking the last ballot box out of the designated counting area without notifying the opposition poll watcher. If her intent had been merely to speed up the counting process and shorten a long night, you'd expect her to have taken other boxes back to her office earlier in the evening and inform all the poll watchers of what she was doing.

(6) And lastly, if the vote count really was honest, why would the city recorder even risk allowing so many irregularities to take place that evening? According to multiple sources she has always run clean elections in the past, and when they learned of the many odd things that happened that night, they were truly shocked.

## Remedy

The only real way to know whether the vote totals as reported by the city are accurate is through a recount. But since the integrity of the city's chief elections officer is in question, this recount should be performed by an independent agency such as the Utah County Elections Division **(Exhibit 9)**.

Ideally, the Utah County Elections Division would immediately obtain custody of the ballots in question in order to remove the possibility of any future ballot tampering by city officials. These ballots are currently being held in a safe in the Eagle Mountain City Recorder's Office.

If the last precinct ballot box that was counted that night can be positively identified, a recount could be limited to this one box since its votes are the only ones that are in question. If this precinct box cannot be positively identified, it might be necessary to perform a full recount of all the ballots cast in the city. Since only 1845 paper ballots were cast city-wide, any recount should be relatively easy and cheap to perform, especially if it's limited to one precinct.

During any recount all the ballots should be inspected to make sure they are genuine. Specifically, the serial numbers should be embossed as they were when they arrived from Carr Printing. They should not be laser facsimiles in the same color as they most likely would be if they were forged. There should also not be any eraser smudges that would indicate that votes were changed. The pencils in the voting stalls did not have erasers on them and some voters only had access to permanent marker pens.

Another indication of fraud would be strange ballots in which residents voted for the two incumbents (Jackson and Ochsenhirt) as well as Westmoreland. If these ballots exist, they are most likely fraudulent since Westmoreland's political positions are diametrically opposed to those of the incumbents. Election officials should be able to easily identify these ballots as fraudulent by matching their serial numbers with the serial numbers in the voter books, and then contacting those specific voters to verify their votes. If they did not vote for the incumbents and Westmoreland as indicated on their ballots, their ballots were altered.

Since there were so many irregularities on election night that are directly attributable to the actions of the city recorder, the cost of any recount should be borne solely by Eagle Mountain City, even if the vote totals as reported by the city prove to be correct. When the city does not enforce its own election rules as described in Ms. Heber's statement, and it also creates uncertainty by failing to notify poll watchers of significant changes in procedure, individual voters who contest the results should not have to pay for the recount just to prove that the election was fair.

As with many other things in Eagle Mountain, it's not clear whether these vote counting irregularities were merely caused by incompetence or whether they were the result of outright fraud. But one thing is clear, the citizens of Eagle Mountain deserve to know the truth.

## Eagle Mountain City Utility Scandal

Do you think your utility bills are too high? If so, you're right. Every year the average Eagle Mountain household pays about \$580 more than the market's prevailing rates. The city uses these profits to:

- Pay exorbitant salaries, wages and benefits to many of its employees
- Finance its massive long-term debt which now exceeds \$55+ million
- Fund pricey rodeos and concerts that lose money every year

This year Mayor Heather Jackson is up for reelection as well as two city council members who have supported her spending (Ochsenhirt and Painter). We need to replace all of them with individuals who will reduce government spending so we can lower our utility rates. But we can only do this if you become informed and vote for more responsible public officials.

- Please read the 'Eagle Mountain City Utility Scandal' report at: [eagleshare.org](http://eagleshare.org)
- Subscribe to receive email alerts on the bottom left corner of the [eagleshare.org](http://eagleshare.org) site.

We'll use your email address to send out reminders about the upcoming elections and to give you information on the candidates running for office.

Together we can take back our city from the bureaucrats and politicians who have been driving up the cost of living in Eagle Mountain, and hopefully, save ourselves hundreds of dollars every year.

Sincerely,

Your neighbors at [Eagleshare.org](http://Eagleshare.org)

---

*If you are willing to run for office, please visit the 'Candidates' page on [eagleshare.org](http://eagleshare.org) for more information. All candidates must file in the Recorder's Office between June 3rd and June 17th. This means there are only two months left to find the best candidates to fix our city government.*

## Want Lower Utilities?

First, Eagleshare.org brought you the "Eagle Mountain City Utility Scandal" report which forced the city to lower its natural gas rates and become more responsive to citizen complaints. Now we bring you the solution to the rest of the problems that the city refuses to fix:

Robert Schermerhorn, Nick Jessen  
& Tom Westmoreland

These three candidates for office are different than most of the individuals who have tried to fix our broken government in the past.

Before he retired Robert Schermerhorn was an honest teacher in one of the most corrupt school districts in the nation. This experience gave him an instinctive ability to spot corruption even when his colleagues were actively hiding it. Nick Jessen is a successful entrepreneur who loves to wade through mountains of data so he can find the problems (and solutions) that evade most people. And Tom Westmoreland is our resident scholar who thinks deeply about the kinds of structural reforms that are needed to keep our government officials and employees honest.

Normally, when new officials get into office the most visible forms of corruption go underground. Employees and officials quit taking government vehicles on personal errands. They stop using their city expense accounts to pay for their spouses' vacations. They no longer ask their subordinates to clean their homes and babysit their kids. And sometimes they even make an effort to work harder. \*\*\*

(Continued on back)

----- cut here and save -----

## Vote in the Primary Election on August 13, 2013

for the candidates endorsed by Eagleshare.org

Robert Schermerhorn (Mayor)  
Nick Jessen (City Council)  
Tom Westmoreland (City Council)

(Continued from front)

Because it's so hard to catch public servants breaking the law, new officials rarely make significant personnel changes while in office. And when their attention is finally diverted away by other business, the old problems usually resurface and residents are left wondering what happened to the reforms they were promised.

Since Schermerhorn, Jessen, and Westmoreland have the skills and experience to break this cycle of corruption the results of this election may be very different. But there's a very real chance they will never get elected.

Currently, there are 13 other candidates running for office and if the "reform vote" gets split, the incumbents and their establishment alternatives could easily dominate the primary election. After all, they've been using your money for years to buy the support of key constituent groups within the city and you can bet that they will turn out in large numbers to support them.

Also, it's worth noting that earlier this year an outside consulting firm told the city that if it didn't raise its utility rates soon, it would no longer be able to make its debt service payments. The mayor and city council responded by lowering our natural gas rates right away to curry favor with voters, but they are saving the big electrical rate increases until after the election. This will result in a net increase in the utility bills of many residents.

If you want real reform--wiser spending, lower utility rates, less debt, and better government services--please consider giving these three candidates your vote. They will work hard to lower your utility costs and ensure that our local government operates honestly and efficiently.

Please, don't let the incumbents win again. We simply cannot afford it.

--Your neighbors at Eagleshare.org

-----  
\*\*\* For more details about the city's corruption please visit: [eagleshare.org](http://eagleshare.org) and read the "Utility Scandal Report." The last page also contains info on how you might be able to get \$120 back from the city.

To register to vote visit: [voter.utah.gov](http://voter.utah.gov)  
Voter registration deadline: July 29, 2013

Paid for by Eagleshare.org

## Vote Counting Irregularities in the 2013 Eagle Mountain City Municipal Primary Election

Prepared by Lois Heber  
(poll watcher for Schermerhorn, Jessen, and Westmoreland)

I am writing this because I believe election rules were broken and proper procedures were not followed in the counting of ballots in the August 13<sup>th</sup>, 2013 municipal primary election in Eagle Mountain City, Utah. This included the removal of ballots from the designated counting area in the city recorder's main office to a back office location where they were counted personally by Mrs. Kofoed, the city recorder, and other city employees without poll watchers present until I discovered them.

On August 13, 2013 I requested to be a count observer. To do this I had to sign an affidavit stating that I would arrive at the recorder's office before the vote counting started and would not leave until it was done. I arrived at approximately 3:45 PM and was the only observer there. Donna Burnham (city council member) came in after I did but before the count started. Mrs. Kofoed gave me a tour of the recorder's office and explained to me what would be happening. I had brought a bag containing my Kindle so I could read in the downtime. She told me I would not be able to have any electronic devices and that I would have to put my bag in a separate room. I agreed to do this. Donna Burnham also put her purse in this separate room, but not the additional bag she had. Then they started counting the early voter ballots. After this task was completed Donna Burnham left, although according to the affidavit I had to sign to be an observer, this was not allowed, if she was acting as a poll watcher too. At this point, I started walking around the office and saw that the lady who had been reading the actual ballots for the counters, was on a cell phone in Mrs. Kofoed's back office.

Approximately 20 minutes later, Mrs. Kofoed's staff started counting ballots that had been cast that day. I do not know the order of precincts. During this count, a man knocked on the door, Mrs. Kofoed answered and allowed him in, stating she had his paperwork to be an observer, once again ignoring the rules in the affidavit. This man introduced himself to me as Tony from White Hills, and that he was part of the Luke Pannell (city council) campaign. We settled in to watch the count.

Approximately three hours into the count, after many other interruptions and knocks on the door, Mrs. Kofoed admitted yet another person to watch the count. This person asked Tony and I about what had happened and how things looked so far. After about 20 minutes he decided to leave and did after receiving a warning from Mrs. Kofoed to not disclose what he had seen and learned.

Later on that evening near the end of the counting but before the last ballot box was opened, Mrs. Kofoed told me that there were about 500 more ballots to be counted. I then went to use the bathroom that was located inside the office. When I came out I resumed watching the count in the main office. When the three official poll counters were done, I noticed that Mrs. Kofoed and her staff were not where they had been the rest of the time. This is when I walked back to her private office in the back of the recorder's department and saw Mrs. Kofoed reading ballots while her two of her employees tallied the count.

I was stunned because this is not where ballots were supposed to be counted and I was never told about the change in location. I watched for a while but since there were no chairs available, I was unable to observe the rest of these ballots being counted (I have had two knee replacements in the last 2 ½ years and couldn't stand for long). Before they were done I went to use the bathroom again, and when I came


out they were in the main office area again and the poll counters were doing a "test count" for one of the candidates to demonstrate that Mrs. Kofoed's unsupervised count was correct. At no time were the poll watchers or poll counters allowed to verify the count for all the candidates in this precinct.

Once the vote totals were entered into the recorder's computer, they were printed off and we all went through the city council chambers into the foyer where a crowd was waiting. I went and stood next to one of the candidates I was supporting and listened with him and other friends while Mrs. Kofoed announced the results. After she was done, I quietly told my friends some of what had happened. A few minutes later as we all started to leave, Mrs. Kofoed approached me and asked me in a stern manner to come with her into the council chambers. She, one of her assistants, and the ballot reader who had used the cell phone were there and Mrs. Kofoed began to tell me that she had learned of my concerns from someone who had overheard my conversation. At this time she insisted that she had announced her intention to count the ballots in her office, but I never heard this announcement, either because she didn't make it at all or because I was in the bathroom when it was made.

When I stood my ground and told her that I was never told about the change in procedure, she began to argue with me saying that I had seen what was going on and that I wasn't denied access. At this point I became scared. My husband and I were behind on our utility payments and I was afraid that the city would shut them off completely if I complained too loudly because I have seen the city act unethically in the past. In order to get out of the building as quickly as possible I immediately praised her and her counters for the work they had done. And when I got home I went on Facebook and praised her and the counters again because I did not want my utilities shut off. I have since setup a payment plan with the city for my past due utilities and I am now in a position where I am not afraid to state all that I saw.

Mrs. Kofoed, I believe, ignored election rules and illegally counted hundreds of ballots in a manner that could not be verified by poll watchers. I believe she did this knowingly and in a deceptive manner, and afterwards, when she learned of my concerns about the integrity of the vote, she attempted to intimidate me into not talking about it anymore.

APPROXIMATE LAYOUT OF CITY RECORDER'S OFFICE SPACE



Following are the certified results of the Primary Election, held on Tuesday, August 13th:

**TOTAL FOR ALL PRECINCTS**

Registered Voters 9885

**TOTAL Voter Turnout 1854 18.76% total voter turnout**

**MAYORAL VOTES**

Jonathan Cornejo 20

Robert Schermerhorn 389

Ronald de Robles 108

**Christopher Pengra 676**

Matthew Everett 80

**Heather Jackson (incumbent) 519**

Aaron Hobbs 37

**CITY COUNCIL VOTES**

Bryan Free 497

Benjamin Reaves 303

Luke Pannell 408

**Nathan Ochsenhirt (incumbent) 504**

Tony Wosnjuk 38

★ **Tom Westmoreland 536**

Nick Jessen 394

Matthew Bray 100

Adam Bradley 598

Almost the same vote  
count, as expected.

OUTLIER

- Tom only had about 40 signs up in the city.
- He did not personally attend the city's "Meet the Candidates" event
- He never had a campaign website up and running

→ Yet... he received the 2<sup>d</sup> highest vote total of any Council candidate.

**Official Certification of the Eagle Mountain City Municipal Primary Election August 13, 2013**

Consolidated Voting Location	Total Registered Voters	Total Votes Cast	Mayoral Candidates							City Council Candidates								
			Jonathan Cornejo	Robert Schermerhorn	Ronald de Robles	Christopher Pengra	Matthew Everett	Heather Jackson	Aaron Hobbs	Bryan Free	Benjamin Reaves	Luke Pannell	Nathan Ochsenhirt	Tony Wosnjuk	Tom Westmoreland	Nick Jessen	Matthew Bray	Adam Bradley
Ranches Academy Location & Early Votes EM 01, 03, & 07	3404	543	1	209	15	152	9	133	20	176	70	80	125	20	243	154	33	130
City Hall Location & Early Votes EM 02, 04, & 09	2964	648	0	77	76	237	19	232	6	152	71	227	189	10	143	134	42	188
Pony Express Location & Early Votes EM 05, 06, & 08	3517	587	19	94	15	262	52	136	8	155	151	81	175	8	134	97	24	263
Provisional & Additional Absentees		57	0	9	2	25	0	18	3	14	11	20	15	0	16	9	1	17
Rejected Ballots		19																
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>9885</b>	<b>1854</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>598</b>

The Board of Canvassers certified the following results of the the Primary Election of August 13, 2013 on this date Tuesday, August 27, 2013. The following individuals are nominated for the November 5, 2013 Municipal General Election:

Mayoral Candidates 4-year term

Christopher Pengra  
Heather Jackson

City Councilmember Candidates 4-year term

Adam Bradley  
Tom Westmoreland  
Nathan Ochsenhirt  
Bryan Free

*CITY RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE*


**Voter Turnout**


EM 01, 03, & 07	15.95%
EM 02, 04, & 09	21.86%
EM 05, 06, & 08	16.69%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>18.76%</b>


I, Fionnuala B. Koford, certify that I am the City Recorder of Eagle Mountain City, Utah and that the information contained within this report entitled "Official Certification of Eagle Mountain City Municipal Primary Election August 13, 2013" is true and accurate; and was approved by the Eagle Mountain City Council acting as the Board of Canvassers.

Dated this 27th day of August, 2013.


Fionnuala B. Koford  
City Recorder





  
Heather Anne Jackson, Mayor/Board of Canvass Chair

  
Donna Burnham, Councilmember/Boardmember

John Painter, Councilmember/Boardmember

  
Ryan Ireland, Councilmember/Boardmember


Richard Steinkopf, Councilmember/Boardmember

  
Nathan Ochsenhirt, Councilmember/Boardmember

Attest:

  
Fionnuala B. Koford, City Recorder/Election Official

- HIGH UTILITY BILLS
- MORE TAXES TO PAY DEBT



## YOUR VOTE CAN STOP THIS TREND

Please help elect these candidates to the Eagle Mountain City Council.



**Robert Schermerhorn** - *Mayor*  
For more information go to: [emcRobert.com](http://emcRobert.com)

**Nick Jessen** - *Council Member*  
For more information go to: [emcNick.com](http://emcNick.com)

**Tom Westmoreland** - *Council Member*  
For more information go to: [ElectTomWestmoreland.com](http://ElectTomWestmoreland.com)



\*Primary election on August 13, 2013

\*Final election on November 5, 2013

If you have not registered to vote, please register at [www.voter.utah.gov](http://www.voter.utah.gov).  
Online registration must be done at least 15 days before the election.  
Registration by mail must be postmarked at least 30 days before the election.

- HIGH UTILITY BILLS
- MORE TAXES TO PAY DEBT



## YOUR VOTE CAN STOP THIS TREND

Please help elect these candidates to the Eagle Mountain City Council.



**Robert Schermerhorn** - *Mayor*  
For more information go to: [emcRobert.com](http://emcRobert.com)

**Nick Jessen** - *Council Member*  
For more information go to: [emcNick.com](http://emcNick.com)

**Tom Westmoreland** - *Council Member*  
For more information go to: [ElectTomWestmoreland.com](http://ElectTomWestmoreland.com)



\*Primary election on August 13, 2013

\*Final election on November 5, 2013

If you have not registered to vote, please register at [www.voter.utah.gov](http://www.voter.utah.gov).  
Online registration must be done at least 15 days before the election.  
Registration by mail must be postmarked at least 30 days before the election.

Exhibit 7

regular city

This is a copy of an internal Eagle Mountain City spreadsheet that calculates what percentage of an employee's compensation will be billed to the city's various utilities in FY 2013.

Admin Transfer	Salary	1	Retirement	401 K	Medical	Bonus	Dental	Total Comp	Gas	Electric	Water	Sewer	Garbage	St. Drain	Total	Gas	Electric	Water	Sewer	Garbage	Stormdrain	
Receptionist	37,513.00	37,513.00	6,017	2,326	15,344	500	1,032	62,732	20.0%	20.0%	15.0%	15.0%	10.0%	5.0%	85.0%	12,546.46	12,546.46	9,409.84	9,409.84	6,273.23	-	53,322.45
Lovell	36,463.00	36,463.00	5,849	2,261	15,344	500	1,032	61,449	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	7,681.10	7,681.10	7,681.10	7,681.10	-	-	30,724.39
Peterson	56,666.00	56,666.00	9,089	3,513	15,344	500	1,032	86,145	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	2.5%	2.5%	35.0%	6,460.87	6,460.87	6,460.87	6,460.87	2,153.62	-	30,150.72
Pili	114,854.00	114,854.00	18,423	7,121	15,344	500	1,032	157,274	15.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	2.0%	77.0%	23,591.09	31,454.79	31,454.79	31,454.79	-	-	121,100.93
Walker	85,696.00	85,696.00	13,746	5,313	15,344	500	1,032	121,631	10.0%	25.0%	25.0%	10.0%	0.0%	3.0%	73.0%	12,163.12	30,407.80	30,407.80	12,163.12	-	-	88,790.77
Alvarez	44,990.40	44,990.40	7,216	2,789	15,344	500	1,032	71,873	10.0%	25.0%	25.0%	10.0%	0.0%	3.0%	73.0%	7,187.27	17,968.17	17,968.17	7,187.27	-	-	52,467.05
Taumoepeau	50,470.00	50,470.00	8,095	3,129	15,344	500	1,032	78,571	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	1.0%	1.0%	32.0%	5,892.82	5,892.82	5,892.82	5,892.82	785.71	-	25,142.70
Mayor Jackson	70,000.00	70,000.00						70,000	10.0%	25.0%	15.0%	10.0%	1.0%	1.0%	62.0%	7,000.00	17,500.00	10,500.00	7,000.00	700.00	-	43,400.00
Interns	20,000.00	20,000.00						21,530	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	1.0%	1.0%	32.0%	1,614.75	1,614.75	1,614.75	1,614.75	215.30	-	6,889.60
Burt	80,811.00	80,811.00	12,962	5,010	15,344	500	1,032	115,660	17.5%	17.5%	15.0%	15.0%	2.0%	1.0%	68.0%	20,240.46	20,240.46	17,348.96	17,348.96	2,313.20	1,156.60	78,648.64
Jerome	53,110.00	53,110.00	8,519	3,293	15,344	500	1,032	81,798	15.0%	20.0%	17.0%	12.0%	2.0%	1.0%	67.0%	12,269.71	16,359.61	13,905.67	9,815.77	1,635.96	817.98	54,804.70
Riet	32,136.00	32,136.00	5,155	1,992	15,344	500	1,032	56,159	35.0%	30.0%	10.0%	5.0%	1.0%	1.0%	82.0%	19,655.81	16,847.83	5,615.94	2,807.97	561.59	561.59	46,050.75
Hadley	52,660.00	52,660.00	8,447	3,265	15,344	500	1,032	81,248	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	20.0%	1.0%	12.4%	93.4%	8,124.80	24,374.40	16,249.60	16,249.60	812.48	10,034.13	75,844.99
Mumford	71,770.00	71,770.00	11,512	4,450	15,344	500	1,032	104,608	2.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	0.0%	3.0%	20.0%	2,092.16	5,230.40	5,230.40	5,230.40	-	3,138.24	20,921.61
Bowman	19,795.50	19,795.50	3,175	1,227	15,344	500	1,032	41,074	5.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.5%	15.0%	50.5%	2,053.72	4,107.44	4,107.44	4,107.44	205.37	6,161.16	20,742.58
Rose	36,699.00	36,699.00	5,887	2,275	15,344	500	1,032	61,737	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	1.0%	0.0%	41.0%	6,173.73	6,173.73	6,173.73	6,173.73	617.37	-	25,312.28
Kofoed	62,686.00	62,686.00	10,055	3,887	15,344	500	1,032	93,504	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	1.0%	0.0%	41.0%	9,350.38	9,350.38	9,350.38	9,350.38	935.04	-	38,336.54
Lahman	41,219.00	41,219.00	6,612	2,556	15,344	500	1,032	67,263	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	1.0%	0.0%	41.0%	6,726.25	6,726.25	6,726.25	6,726.25	672.63	-	27,577.63
Jolley	42,848.00	42,848.00	6,873	2,657	15,344	500	1,032	69,254	30.0%	30.0%	15.0%	15.0%	10.0%	0.0%	100.0%	20,776.14	20,776.14	10,388.07	10,388.07	6,925.38	-	69,253.80
Duran	29,050.00	29,050.00	4,660	1,801	15,344	500	1,032	52,387	40.0%	50.0%	5.0%	1.0%	4.0%	0.0%	100.0%	20,954.85	26,193.56	2,619.36	523.87	2,095.48	-	52,387.12
Onate	28,772.00	28,772.00	4,615	1,784	15,344	500	1,032	52,047	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	15,614.19	15,614.19	5,204.73	5,204.73	10,409.46	-	52,047.29
Burak	10,444.00	10,444.00	-	-	-	50	-	10,494	35.0%	35.0%	15.0%	10.0%	5.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3,672.90	3,672.90	1,574.10	1,049.40	524.70	-	10,494.00
Valenzuela	10,444.00	10,444.00	-	-	-	50	-	10,494	40.0%	50.0%	5.0%	1.0%	4.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4,197.60	5,247.00	524.70	104.94	419.76	-	10,494.00
Ballif	53,302.00	53,302.00	8,550	3,305	15,344	500	1,032	82,033	5.0%	5.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	2.0%	62.0%	4,101.64	4,101.64	20,508	20,508	-	1,640.66	50,860.31
Straw, M	44,433.00	44,433.00	7,127	2,755	15,344	500	1,032	71,191	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	-	-	35,596	35,596	-	-	71,191.30
Weber	59,301.63	59,301.63	9,512	3,677	15,344	500	1,032	89,367	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	5.0%	20.0%	37.0%	2,681.00	2,681.00	2,681	2,681	4,468.34	17,873.34	33,065.68
Kinghorn	175,000.00	175,000.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	175,000	10.0%	20.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	70.0%	17,500.00	35,000.00	35,000	17,500	17,500.00	-	122,500.00
																260,322.79	354,223.67	320,194.30	260,230.90	60,224.62	41,383.70	1,296,579.98

Poll Worker's  
Initial \_\_\_\_\_

# SAMPLE BALLOT

*Official Primary Ballot for  
Eagle Mountain City, Utah  
August 13, 2013*

*James B. Kjaer*  
Recorder

*INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS: To vote for a candidate, place a cross (X) in the square following the name(s) of the person(s) you favor as the candidate(s) for each respective office.*

**FOR MAYOR** Vote for  
one (1)  
Four Year Term

- JONATHAN CORNEJO
- ROBERT ALLEN SCHERMERHORN
- RONALD G. DE ROBLES
- CHRISTOPHER PENGRA
- MATTHEW EVERETT
- HEATHER JACKSON  incumbent
- AARON J. HOBBS

**FOR COUNCILMEMBER** Vote for  
up to two (2)  
Four Year Term

- BRYAN FREE
- BENJAMIN REAVES
- LUKE PANNELL
- NATHAN OCHSENHIRT  incumbent
- TONY WOSNJUK
- TOM WESTMORELAND
- NICK JESSEN
- MATTHEW D. BRAY
- ADAM BRADLEY



UTAH COUNTY GOVERNMENT  
CLERK/AUDITOR

ELECTIONS DIVISION

Office: (801) 851-8128 • Fax: (801) 851-8122  
100 East Center Street • Suite 3100 • Provo, Utah 84606  
[www.utahcountyonline.org](http://www.utahcountyonline.org)

---